

**NOTE: The final warrant article numbers may be changed due realignment of articles.**

**DRAFT WARRANT ARTICLES**

**TOWN OF RAYMOND  
Wednesday, May 28, 2008**

**ANNUAL BUDGET/TOWN WARRANT**

Crockett, Sadak, Ginn, Caldwell, Mowatt, ? Willard, Desjardins Patspted

TO: Jack Cooper, a resident of the Town of Raymond, in the County of Cumberland and State of Maine.

GREETINGS:

In the name of the State of Maine, you are hereby required to notify and warn the inhabitants of the Town of Raymond, qualified by law to vote in Town affairs, to meet at the Jordan-Small Middle School gymnasium, in said Town of Raymond on Wednesday, May 28, 2008, at 6:30 P.M., then and there to act on the following articles:

**ARTICLE 1:** To elect a moderator to preside at said meeting.

*[Note: The use of the word "Article" within the ordinance does not indicate a separate warrant article.]*

**ARTICLE 2:** Shall Article 9, Section U of the Town of Raymond Land Use Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through August 7, 2007, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *Article 9, Section U of the Town of Raymond Land Use Ordinance sets out standards for new lots that do not require subdivision review. The section U standards include provisions for addressing stormwater management, phosphorous control, septic system design and construction, and erosion control. The proposed amendments would substantially change those particular provisions.*

*The proposed amendments are technical changes drafted by a consulting engineering firm to align these environmentally oriented provisions with current practices and to scale the regulation to single lot development.*

*The proposed amendment combines storm water management provisions and phosphorous control provisions into one unified standard. The previous storm water provisions prescribed buffers of 25 ft. to 50 ft. as the primary storm water management technique for lots subject to these provisions. The previous phosphorous control provisions required phosphorus calculations to be based on Maine Department of Environmental Protection modeling. The proposed ordinance amendment provides options for smaller projects to 1) follow a point based system that looks at reducing existing erosion problems, minimizing impervious surfaces, and implementing best management practices or 2) provide a stormwater management plan that adheres to published DEP guidelines, with assistance from a licensed professional engineer.*

*The current storm water provisions of Section U apply to any lot that was not approved under subdivision regulations and is not subject to site plan review by the planning board, but is within 600 ft. of a great pond or perennial stream. The current phosphorous control provisions apply*

to all non-subdivision lots (including those subject to site plan review) if they are within 600 ft. of a pond or stream. The new combined provisions, will not be applicable to lots created with planning board subdivision review but will apply to any other lot within 600 ft. a great pond or 100 ft. of a perennial stream.

If a lot is subject to these proposed Section U stormwater and phosphorus control standards, and requires a site plan review, then it must meet the criteria set out in the Maine DEP phosphorous control and storm water manuals. Those lots that meet the criteria for minor site plan review, and all single lot residential development, including expansions, would have the option of using the point system or relying on the DEP manuals with the assistance of a professional engineer.

The point system awards points for certain types of management techniques (with those that provide greater storm water treatment receiving higher points) and deducts points for projects with larger areas of impervious surface or greater areas of disturbed ground cover.

Changes to the section on septic systems would require septic tank and disposal fields to meet setback distances from on-site and off-site features in conformance with the state subsurface wastewater disposal rules, rather than in accordance with the prior conflicting town standards. The proposed amendments also require submission of documentation and application materials in conformance with state standards. The proposed amendments would still allow the code enforcement officer to approve variances to setbacks consistent with the state plumbing code but would not allow any such variance from a water body.

The erosion control measures of Section U currently are applicable to all lots within 600 ft. of a great pond or perennial stream, if they are not subject to site plan review. The proposed amendment would eliminate the requirement that the lots must be within 600 ft. of a water body thus subjecting all non-site plan review lots to this provision. The existing ordinance sets out the details of what must be included in an erosion and sedimentation control plan, whereas the proposed amendment requires that the applicant follow the Maine Erosion Control Best Management practices published by the Maine DEP.

**ARTICLE 3:** Shall Article 10, Sections D and E of the Town of Raymond Land Use Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through August 7, 2007, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** The proposed amendment would change the submission requirements for site plan review. It would require submission of phosphorus export calculations based on the Maine DEP guide for phosphorous control in lake watersheds, and for projects requiring a Maine DEP storm water permit, would require that a copy of the storm management plan be submitted. For minor developments that only require code enforcement officer review, the applicant would be required to submit documentation showing that it has met the phosphorus control "point system" provisions described in section U.5 of Article 9 of the Land Use Ordinance. The proposed amendment also makes a change to the criteria and standards pertaining to surface water drainage and specifically would require that adequate treatment be provided to mitigate potential impacts to receiving wetlands and water bodies.

**ARTICLE 4:** Shall Article 5, Section 2.2.10, Article 8, Section 10 and Article 9, Sections 6 & 7 of the Town of Raymond Subdivision Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through

May 17, 2003, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *The proposed amendments would change the subdivision submission requirements for sewage disposal systems (septic systems) so that each subdivision lot shows a passing test pit, a 125 ft. well exclusion zone, and a sufficient area for well and septic systems. The amendments would also revise the standard for design of the septic system to meet state rules for applications, designs, and setbacks. Generally, this amendment would bring the town rules more in line with the current state rules.*

**ARTICLE 5:** Shall Article 5, Section 2.2.17 of the Town of Raymond Subdivision Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through May 17, 2003, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *The proposed amendments would change the subdivision submission requirements for erosion and sedimentation control plans so that the plans are in conformance with standard state requirements rather than the less specific existing town plan requirements. Generally, this amendment would bring the town rules more in line with the state rules and current practices.*

**ARTICLE 6:** Shall Article 8, Section 15 of the Town of Raymond Subdivision Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through May 17, 2003, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *The proposed amendments would change the subdivision requirements for storm water quality and phosphorus control. Previously, the requirements treated the two separately the proposed amendment would address storm water and phosphorus control in a unified manner.*

*The proposed amendments recognize and piggyback on the state DEP storm water permit process. They require phosphorus calculations to be based on standard State methodology. They also would require each lot in a subdivision to meet the single lot standards of Article 9, Section U.5 of the Land Use Ordinance for storm water and phosphorus control.*

**ARTICLE 7:** Shall Section 15. J and Section 15. R of the Town of Raymond Shoreland Zoning Provisions, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through May 21, 2005, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *The proposed amendments to the shoreland zoning ordinance would add a requirement for an analysis by a licensed professional engineer of impacts of proposed development to downstream properties and receiving waters located anywhere within the shoreland zone. The amendments also would require that erosion and sedimentation control measures for controlling disturbances within the shoreland zone be developed in accordance with state requirements set out in the Maine DEP guide rather than the existing less specific town standards.*

**ARTICLE 8:** Shall portions of the Town of Raymond Subdivision Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through May 17, 2003, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *The proposed amendments would add descriptive headings to certain sections of the Subdivision Ordinance that currently do not have headings in order to help guide users of the ordinance. The amendments would also renumber portions of the Subdivision Ordinance to make the numbering and organization of the ordinance more consistent. None of the amendments proposed by this article would make any changes to the substantive language or meaning of the Subdivision Ordinance.*

**ARTICLE 9:** Shall Article 2, Section A of the Town of Raymond Land Use Ordinance, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through August 7, 2007, and Section 9.A, Section 13, Section 14, Section 15, and Section 17 of the Town of Raymond Shoreland Zoning Provisions, as adopted May 21, 1994 and amended through May 21, 2005, be further amended by adding the underscored language and deleting the language in strikeover type, as shown below?

**Description:** *The proposed amendments would establish a Stream Protection District in the Land Use Ordinance and the Shoreland Zoning Ordinance. The proposed Stream Protection District would replace the LRR1 (Limited Residential/ Recreation) Districts currently surrounding streams that are subject to Shoreland Zoning.*

*The Stream Protection District would consist of land areas within 100 feet of the normal high water line of a stream – defined as a perennial free flowing body of water. Land Uses similar to those in the existing Resource Protection District would be permitted in the Stream Protection District. The minimum lot size standards of the underlying zoning district in which the Stream Protection and Resource Protection Districts are located would apply to land within the Stream Protection and Resource Protection Districts.*

**ARTICLE 10:** Shall the Land Use Map adopted May 16, 1992 as part of the Land Use Ordinance of the Town of Raymond, Maine and amended through May 15, 2004 be superseded and replaced by a new Land Use Map available for inspection at Town Meeting and to be attested to by the Town Clerk?

**Description:** *The proposed update to the Land Use Map would convert the old paper map to a digital map, which is more easily updated in the future and which would include the most recent parcel lines. The new Land Use Map would also incorporate changes approved at the 2004 Town Meeting, which rezoned a portion of the old Village Residential 2 (VR2) district to Village Residential (VR) and another portion Rural Residential (RR) and which also eliminated the distinction between VR1 and VR2 so that the portion on the old map that was shown as VR1 is now depicted as VR.*

*The proposed revisions to the Land Use Map would depict 100 ft. Stream Protection districts around mapped perennial streams and would replace the current 600 ft. Limited Residential Recreational 1 (LRR1) district around those streams. The revised map would also provide the most current information about the location of streams and would identify Resource Protection (RP) districts based on the most current mapping from the state.*

*The full text of the current draft ordinance amendments, and a copy of the proposed new Land Use Map, is available at the town office and on the town website.*

**ARTICLE 11:** A system including any mechanism, equipment or device designed to automatically transmit a signal, message or warning from private premises or businesses, including telephone alarm systems designed to operate automatically through the use of public telephone facilities, to NFPA 72 complaint monitored alarm companies or agencies, which in turn transmit a signal to the town of Raymond Public Safety Dispatch Center.

7:01

Minutes 4/1

MOTION: Bartholomew 40%? Regards to the fact that the teachers contract will be valid through existing into -- 40% of total budget.

Gosselin/Leavitt Carried.

Went to school articles.

Make recommendations for the school budget and the municipal budget. School first and town second. Asked for review of any changes which have been made. There was question about the latest version being 4/14/08. Many had only 4/3/08. Olsen suggested they go from the 3/26/08 to present for changes. One additional teacher with benefits. Total operating budget \$8,558,891.

Olsen had a question on the wording of the articles. Willard said that there will be no changes for this year and that it will be done as in years past that the articles could be lowered but not raised. Olsen felt that if the article is passed at town meeting and turned down by referendum what stands. Olsen said they would be voting the intent of the article and they wording may be changed. Leavitt suggested "pending ratification by referendum". Willard said that the BOS will go by tradition and continue with closed articles.

**ARTICLE 12:** To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen on behalf of the Town to sell and dispose of any property acquired by the Town for nonpayment of taxes pursuant to the policy adopted by the Selectmen, as may be amended from time to time, the policy to remain consistent with State statutes and laws. In all cases conveyance to be made by municipal quitclaim deed.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 13:** To see what date taxes will be due and to set an interest rate for unpaid amounts.

The Selectmen recommend 1<sup>st</sup> half to be due October 31, 2008 and 2<sup>nd</sup> half to be due April 30, 2009 with interest at eleven percent (11%) on any unpaid balances.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Accardi Gosselin

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 14:** To see if the Town will vote to set the interest rate to be paid by the Town on abated taxes at eleven percent (11%) for the fiscal year.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Accardi Bullock

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 15:** To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Board of Selectmen to dispose of Town owned personal property with value not to exceed \$35,000.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: gosselin/Leavitt

VOTE: Carred.

**ARTICLE 16:** To see what sum the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to borrow from or appropriate from fund balance (surplus) as they deem advisable to meet the unanticipated needs of the community that occur during the fiscal year.

The Selectmen recommend an amount not over \$75,000.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Accardi/Bullock

VOTE: **Carried**

**ARTICLE 17:** To see if the Town will authorize the Selectmen, for the fiscal year 2008 - 2009, to transfer funds between appropriation accounts as long as the grand total of all appropriations is not exceeded. Any such transfers to be approved only at a properly called public meeting of the Selectmen.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Ward

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 18:** To see if the Town will vote to authorize the use of Town employees and/or Town owned equipment or independent contractor(s) hired by the Town for maintenance on private roads in special and certain circumstances where in the public's interest.

Note of explanation -- Three examples of when the use of Town employees and equipment is necessary include the following:

- A. Tying in work done on a public road that intersects a private road;
- B. Plowing snow on a private road to clear the way for emergency response apparatus; and

C. In rare or emergency situations, maintaining private roads for school bus access to special education students as deemed necessary.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: accaraadi carter

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 19:** To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Tax Collector or Treasurer to accept prepayments of taxes not yet committed pursuant to 36 M.R.S.A. § 506.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Ward

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 20:** To see what sum the Town will vote to appropriate from the tax increment of the Pipeline/RT 302 Tax Increment Financing District for FY 2008 - 2009 projects proposed in the Tax Increment Financing District Development Program.

Amount requested: \$188,900

Note: Included in this item are: Raymond-Casco Historical Society	\$ 2,000
Raymond Waterways Association Milfoil Program	\$15,000

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Accardi Gosselin

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 21:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Administration account.

Amount requested: \$510,848

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Bullock

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 22:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Assessing account.

Amount requested: \$66,337

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Ward

VOTE: Carred.

**ARTICLE 23:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Town Hall account.

Amount requested: \$30,599

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 24:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Insurance account.

Amount requested: \$484,244

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 25:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the General Assistance account.

Amount requested: \$2,000

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Bullock

OVTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 26:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Technology Department account.

Amount requested: \$166,400

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: CaRRIED.

**ARTICLE 27:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Community Development account.

Amount requested: \$46,612

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Accardi

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 28:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Fire Department account.

Amount requested: \$593,625

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 29:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Animal Control account.

Amount requested: \$9,238

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Bullock

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 30:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Infrastructure account.

Amount requested: \$21,200

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 31:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Public Works account.

Amount requested: \$553,354

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 32:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Solid Waste account.

Amount requested: \$517,466

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Ward

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 33:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Cemeteries account.

Amount requested: \$17,795

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Ward

VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 34:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Parks & Recreation account.

Amount requested: \$13,321

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
MOTION: Ward Bullock  
VOTE: Carried

**ARTICLE 35:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for Raymond Baseball.  
Amount requested: \$1,000

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt  
VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 36:** To see what sum the Town will vote to appropriate from the money received from the State for snowmobile registrations to the Raymond Rattlers Snowmobile Club for the maintenance of their system or network of snowmobile trails, on condition that those trail be open at all times to the public for outdoor recreation purposes at no charge, and to authorize the municipal officers to enter into an agreement with the Club, under such terms and conditions as the municipal officers may deem advisable, for that purpose.  
Amount requested: \$2,000

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
MOTION: Gosselin Ward  
VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 37:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Raymond Village Library.  
Amount requested: \$30,000

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
MOTION: Accardi bullock  
VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 37:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Provider Agencies.

Amounts requested:	2008-2009 level
Family Crisis Shelter	\$1,000
Greater Bridgton Lakes Region Chamber of Commerce	\$1,000
Home Health Visiting Nurses	\$4,000
People's Regional Opportunity Program	\$5,500
Raymond Extended Day Care	\$3,000
Southern Maine Agency on Aging	\$1,950
Tri-County Mental Health	\$2,712
Raymond Mentoring Partnership	\$1,889

Amount requested: \$21,051

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Accardi

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 39:** To see whether the Town will vote to carry forward any existing fund balance in the Capital Improvement Program (C.I.P.) account.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Ward

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 40:** To see what sum th Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the Capital Improvement account.

Amount requested: \$717,464

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 41:** To see what sum the Town will vote to raise and appropriate for the County Tax account.

Amount requested: \$545,548

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Leavitt not to recommend. Seconded by Cole.

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 42:** To see if the Town will vote to appropriate the total sum of \$150,000 from estimated non-property tax revenues to reduce the property tax commitment, together with all categories of funds which may be available from the federal government.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 43:** To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to accept or reject grants, donations and/or gifts of money to the Town of Raymond and to expend monies donated for specific purposes.

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Leavitt Bullock

VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 44:** To see if the Town will vote to accept certain State Funds as provided by the Maine State Legislature during the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008 and any other funds provided by any other entity included but not limited to:

- A. Municipal Revenue Sharing
- B. Local Road Assistance
- C. Emergency Management Assistance
- D. Snowmobile Registration Money
- E. Tree Growth Reimbursement
- F. General Assistance Reimbursement
- G. Veteran's Exemption Reimbursement
- H. State Grant or Other Funds

The Selectmen recommend adoption of this Article.

The Budget Committee

MOTION: Gosselin Leavitt

VOTE: Carried.

Bullock asked what the mil rate will be if both budgets are passed. Willard felt it will be about 1 mil moving it to 11.20 mils.

Mr. Leavitt asked if the Department of Education could be asked to send a representative to answer questions at our next meeting on May 5<sup>th</sup>.

ADJOURNMENT: Rolf Olsen adjourned the meeting at 10:36 pm.

-----

Make recommendations for the school budget and the municipal budget. School first and town second. Asked for review of any changes which have been made. There was question about the latest version being 4/14/08. Many had only 4/3/08. Olsen suggested they go from the 3/26/08 to present for changes. One additional teacher with benefits. Total operating budget as of 4/14/08 \$8,558,891. The School Board has voted to cap the tuition which will be a savings if the town votes to agree because that savings is not represented in this budget. Mr. Olsen felt that if the capped amount was included in the budget and the budget is approved it follows that the cap is approved by the town and we'll save \$44,000. Mrs. Caldwell said that they intended to have a referendum vote on whether the town wants to have a cap. Mr. Olsen felt that if that amount is in the budget and the town votes to have a cap, then the surplus on tuition will go into the CSU and not kept by the town. Mrs. Caldwell said that their legal councilor has encouraged them to have a referendum on tuition cap. She continued that the surplus would be part of their consolidation negotiation with Windham. It was noted that the legal council said this could be done by a town meeting or referendum vote. Mr. Ginn felt that at town meeting if the cap is approved then the amount going to referendum could be reduced that that amount of money. Mrs. Mowatt cautioned that the \$44,000 is fully an estimate of what tuition costs will be. There will be two articles added to the warrant which is coming from the legal council. Mrs. Ward had concern with voting on this budget tonight because she hasn't had time to study the new budget changes.

**ARTICLES PURSUANT TO 20-A M.R.S.A. SECTION 1485**

**ARTICLE 45:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Regular Instruction.

School Committee Requests \$ 4,427,292  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

MOTION: Charles Leavitt motioned to table article 45 through 54. Seconded by Susan Accardi.

DISCUSSION: So that there is more time to access information which the Budget Committee hasn't seen as yet before we vote on these articles. Mr. Leavitt felt that the reasons why we've been over the EPS level for the last three years. He questioned whether the EPS model is at fault or with our school budget. Mrs. Ward concurred saying that she would like more time to digest the information provided tonight. Mr. Leavitt asked to have an explanation of their EPS level and also what the professional staff had as their recommendations after the public hearing. Mrs. Caldwell welcomed questions but said that her recommendation was the current budget. Mr. Olsen felt that since the EPS is based on two year old figures he felt that creating a budget in line with it was virtually impossible. Mr. Ginn felt that the EPS was a flawed model which the state has not corrected. Mr. Crockett felt that his experience with a school district which always came in under the EPS was not as good a school district as he would have liked. Mrs. Accardi noted that you can't buy a good education because it's the parents who make the difference. Mrs. Caldwell reported that the public at their hearing was not going to be accepting the EPS model for their schools. She added that their school policy didn't agree with many of the EPS model standards. She added that through consolidation their administrative staff will be very different with those positions being combined.

AMEND MOTION to go through Article 60. Mr. Leavitt agreed. Withdrawn and moved again  
VOTE: Carried.

Submit questions to Olsen by Friday, April 18 with answers by April 30<sup>th</sup>. Next meeting Monday, May 5, 2008.

MOTION: Bullock Leavitt to table the school entitlement article (Article 61).  
VOTE: Carried.

**ARTICLE 46:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Special Education.

School Committee Requests \$ 1,609,309  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 47:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Other Instruction.

School Committee Requests \$ 65,598  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 48:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Student and Staff Support.

School Committee Requests \$ 547,979

The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 49:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for System Administration.

School Committee Requests \$ 306,646  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 50:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for School Administration.

School Committee Requests \$ 287,698  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 51:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Transportation and Buses.

School Committee Requests \$ 536,058  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 52:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Facilities Maintenance.

School Committee Requests \$ 740,311  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 53:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for Debt Service and Other Commitments.

School Committee Requests \$ 618,149  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

**ARTICLE 54:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will be authorized to expend for All Other Expenditures.

School Committee Requests \$ 38,000  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen  
MOTION:

ARTICLES PURSUANT TO 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 15690

**Actions taken pursuant to items 1 – 4 must be taken by a recorded vote (secret ballot).**

**1. School Administrative Unit Contribution to Total Cost of Funding Public Education from Kindergarten to Grade 12 (as required by Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, §15690(1 A-B)):**

**1-A. For a municipal school unit:**

**ARTICLE 55:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will appropriate for the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12 as described in the Essential Programs and Services Funding Act (Recommend \$ 7,362,028) and to see what sum the municipality will raise as the municipality's contribution to the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12 as described in the Essential Programs and Services Funding Act in accordance with the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, section 15688.

School Committee requests \$ 6,233,635  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

*“Explanation: The school administrative unit’s contribution to the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12 as described in the Essential Programs and Services Funding Act is the amount of money determined by state law to be the minimum amount that a municipality must raise in order to receive the full amount of state dollars.”*

**2. Appropriation for Non-state-funded Debt Service Allocation (as required by Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, §15690 (2A)):**

**ARTICLE 56:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will raise and appropriate for the annual payments on debt service previously approved by the legislative body for non-state-funded school construction projects, non-state-funded portions of school construction projects and minor capital projects in addition to the funds appropriated as the local share of the school administrative unit's contribution to the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12.

School Committee requests \$15,629  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

*“Explanation: Non-state-funded debt service is the amount of money needed for the annual payments on the municipality/district long-term debt for major capital school construction projects and minor capital renovation projects that are not approved for state subsidy. The bonding of this long-term debt was previously approved by the voters or other legislative body.”*

**3. Appropriation of Additional Local Funds (as required by Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, §15690 (3 A-B)):**

**ARTICLE 57:** Shall the Town of Raymond raise and appropriate \$1,774,383 in additional local funds, which exceeds the State's Essential Programs and Services allocation model by \$ 1,610,733 as required to fund the budget recommended by the (school committee or board of directors)?

School Committee requests \$1,774,383 for additional local funds and gives the following reasons for exceeding the State's Essential Programs and Services funding model by \$1,610,733.

The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

*"Explanation: The additional local funds are those locally raised funds over and above the school administrative unit's local contribution to the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12 as described in the Essential Programs and Services Funding Act and local amounts raised for the annual payment on non-state funded debt service that will help achieve the (municipality/district) budget for educational programs."*

4. **Total Budget Article (as required by Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, §15690 (4A))**

**ARTICLE 58:** To see what sum the Town of Raymond will authorize the school committee to expend for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008 and ending June 30, 2009 from the school administrative unit's contribution to the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12 as described in the Essential Programs and Services Funding Act, non-state-funded school construction projects, additional local funds for school purposes under the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, section 15690, unexpended balances, tuition receipts, state subsidy and other receipts for the support of schools.

School Committee Requests \$ 9,177,040  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

**ARTICLE 59:** To see if the Town will authorize the School Committee, for the fiscal year 2008-2009 to transfer funds between budget programs so long as the grand total of all appropriations is not exceeded. Any such transfers to be approved only at a properly called public meeting of the School Committee.

The School Committee requests approval of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

**ARTICLE 60:** To see if the municipality will reduce the additional local appropriation raised pursuant to Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A§15690(3) for public schooling by the amount of any unanticipated state general purpose aid received for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2007/2008 and ending June 30, 2009.

The School Committee requests approval of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

**ARTICLE 61:** Shall the Town vote to accept the categories of funds listed below as provided by the Maine State Legislature and any other funds which become available.

Category	Estimated Amount
LOCAL ENTITLEMENT	\$166,351
IDEA (Part B) Local Entitlement	\$ 1,197
R.E.A.P.	\$ 38,045
N.C.L.B.	
TITLE 11A	\$ 18,458
TITLE 11D	\$ 543
TITLE 1	\$ 36,515
TITLE IVA	\$ 2,068
TITLE V	\$ 879

The School Committee requests approval of this Article.  
The Budget Committee  
The Selectmen

Given under our hands this \_\_\_<sup>th</sup> day of April AD 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Gendron

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Bruno

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dana Desjardins

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Reynolds

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lawrence Taylor

Selectmen of Raymond